

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

This Response is submitted to the Office Action mailed November 19, 2007. In the Action, the Examiner found claims 20-24, 26, 27, 32-35, 37-40 and 43 allowable. The Examiner rejected claims 28-31 and 41-42 under 35 U.S.C. §112, first paragraph as being non-enabled and as failing to comply with the written description requirement. Claims 28-31 were also rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph as being indefinite. Claims 29, 31, 41 and 42 were also objected to.

By this paper, claims 26, 27 and 40 are amended to correct minor typographical errors. Applicants traverse the rejections to claims 28-31 and 41-42 in the Action of November 19. In order to further prosecution, however, claims 28-31 and 41-42 are hereby cancelled, with Applicants expressly retaining the right to file such claims in a divisional application.

CONCLUSION

Applicants thus respectfully request that a timely Notice of Allowance be issued in this case. If any issues remain to prevent allowance of the pending claims that may be clarified by telephone, the Examiner is requested to call the undersigned.

Respectfully submitted,



Loren R. Hulse
Reg. No. 46,784
Attorney for Applicants

Date: May 19, 2008

STOEL RIVES LLP
One Utah Center
201 South Main Street, Suite 1100
Salt Lake City, UT 84111
Telephone: (801) 578-6978
Facsimile: (801) 578-6999